Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/522,122	SCHNECKENLEITNER, ALFRED
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jeff Tang	3634
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_
(1) <u>Jeff Tang</u> .	(3) <u>Christine McCarthy</u> .	
(2)	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>19 February 2009</u>	Time: <u>2:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: all		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Amended claim 1 to take out sliding door or swinging-sliding door as well as a typo error. Also amended claim 9 to describe "prongs" instead of "claw shaped"		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/KATHERINE W MITCHELL/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3634		
	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if annropriate\
(F	rppmeanor rppmeant a recpresenta	are organice – ir appropriaic)